

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board
7th Floor - 4910 50th Avenue
P.O. Box 2130
YELLOWKNIFE NT X1A 2P6
Phone (867) 669-0506
FAX (867) 873-6610

Staff Report

Applicant:	
Town of Fort Smith	
Location:	Application:
Fort Smith, NT	MV2011L3-0001
Date Prepared:	Meeting Date:
June 10, 2011	June 23, 2011
Subject:	
Preliminary Screening Exempt	ion Determination and File Update

1. Purpose/Report Summary

The purpose of this staff report is to update the Board and to seek a determination from the Board on whether the Type A Water Licence renewal application submitted by the Town of Fort Smith is exempt from Preliminary Screening.

2. Background

- September 25, 2003 Board approval of the preliminary screening for the Town of Fort Smith's Type A Water Licence under MV2003L3-0006:
- December 11, 2003 Issuance of MV2003L3-0006;
- February 4, 2011 MV2011L3-0001 Water Licence Renewal Application Received;
- April 12, 2011 Additional info received:
- April 28, 2011 Application deemed complete and sent for review:
- April 28, 2011 MVLWB Information Request #1 and #2 sent to the proponent;
- May 12, 2011 Reviewer comments due on the Workplan;
- May 27, 2011 Comment deadline on Water Licence Application;
- June 3, 2011 Town of Fort Smith's responses to comments and due date for Board Staff Information Requests;
- June 15, 2011 Technical Session; and
- June 24, 2011 Information being presented to the Board.

Key upcoming dates on Water Licence Renewal process:

TASK	PROPOSED DATES
Written Interventions Due	July 4, 2011
Proponent's Response to Interventions	July 11, 2011
Pre-hearing Conference (PHC)	July 13, 2011
Public Hearing Presentations Due	July 15, 2011
Public Hearing (Pelican Rapids, Ft. Smith)	July 20-21, 2011
Expiry of current Water Licence	October 31, 2011

3. Discussion

Attached is the Board approved preliminary screening report dated September 25, 2003. This preliminary screening was completed during that last renewal of the Town of Fort Smith. The municipal Water Licence has not been changed since the issuance of the last Water Licence, MV2003L3-0006.

Part 1, section 2 of the Exemption List Regulations state:

"A development, or part thereof, for which renewal of a permit, licence or authorization is requested that:

- (a) Has not been modified; and
- (b) Has fulfilled the requirements of the environmental assessment process established by the *Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act*, the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* or the *Environmental Assessment Review Process Guidelines Order*.

4. Comments

A technical session was held in Yellowknife on June 15, 2011. Topics of conversation were chosen based on reviewer comments and were:

- Annual Reporting;
- Snow Disposal:
- Operation and Maintenance Plans;
- Spill Contingency Plan;
- Surveillance Network Program (SNP) station 567-2 (sewage);
- SNP stations 567-4 and 567-6; and
- Closure and Reclamation.

The technical session allowed reviewers and the proponent to come together and discuss reviewer comments in detail. While there remains some outstanding information as the proponent's consultant was unavailable to attend, quite a few comments/concerns were discussed and reviewers got a better understanding of the Town's responses to their

questions. The attached comment table was used as a basis of the conversations.

5. Review Comments

Please see the attached comment summary table for an update of the reviewer comments and responses associated with this application.

6. Security

n/a

7. Conclusion

Board staff concludes that this municipal Water Licence has been previously screened under Water Licence MV2003L3-0006, and should be deemed exempt from another preliminary screening as per Part 1, section 2 of the Exemption List Regulations.

8. Recommendation

Board staff recommends that the Board consider this Type A Water Licence Renewal as exempt from preliminary screening.

9. Attachments

- · Comment summary table;
- Preliminary Screening Report Form dated March 25, 2003;
- Information Request dated April 28, 2011; and
- Draft exemption letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen Graham Regulatory Officer



Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board 7th Floor - 4910 50th Avenue P.O. Box 2130 YELLOWKNIFE NT X1A 2P6 Phone (867) 669-0506 FAX (867) 873-6610

	FILE NUMBER:	MV2011L3-00	01
Date:	June 23, 2011		
То:	Willard Hagen, Chair		
Organization:	MVLWB		
Fax Number:			
From:	Rebecca Chouinard, Regulato MVLWB	ory Manager,	
Number of pages including	cover	4	
The attached Board Meeti attached for your signature. Amendment Type B - WL Issuance Letter Permit Cover Conditions Reason's for Decision	ng items have been reviewed Issuance Type A – LUP Issuance Letter Permit Cover Conditions	F 	or your approval or your action
☑ Letter – Exemption Letter	☐ Final Clearance Letter		
☐ Preliminary Screening ☐ Approval Letter for Plan Land Treatment Area Design	☐ Approval Letter – WL Conditional Plan Approval ☐ Notification – 22 (2) b – Further Study Requested		
Rebecca Chouinard (Regula	DATE:	3 <u>,2011</u>	
Zabey Nevitt (Executive Dire	ector)		
	DATE:		

Note: The document accompanying this transmission contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reference to the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the above person immediately by telephone and return the original to by regular mail to address above.

#	REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at Technical Session
1	INAC-WR		Part B, Section 1 of the water licence refers to the elements to be included in the annual report.	INAC recommends that the following be also included in the annual report: Results of any inspections of all dams, berms, dykes and control structures; Updates or revisions of the upcoming snow disposal plan; Sludge management details if any; Comparison of the Surveillance Network Program data to the water licence regulated limits and sampling and analysis requirements; Correspondence between the Inspector and the Town and Groundwater monitoring results.		INAC should provide arationale for each recommendations. Please describe what correspondence is being referred to in recommendation.
2	INAC-WR	· ·	The current water licence does not have a condition relating to snow disposal.	The Town of Fort Smith should provide to the Board a map outlining areas (with UTM positioning) currently used or to be used for snow disposal. The drainage pathways of the meltwater should also be indicated. This snow disposal plan should be reviewed yearly and any additions or deletions to areas used should be identified in the Annual Report.		Staff note that the water licence for the Town of Hay River (MV2009L3-0005) contains a requirement for a snow disposal plan (Part D, Items 11-12). At the technical session, Proponent and INAC should describe and discuss issues concerning snow disposal that are specific to Ft. Smith. Proponent to provide a description of how the town disposes of snow. Also, the proponent should provide a map illustrating the snow disposal locations for the town (this map can be hand-drawn for now). This information should be submitted by 5 pm on June 10, 2011.
3	INAC-WR	Maintenance Plan	An Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan relating to Solid Waste Landfill Site and Sanitary Sewage System was first prepared by the Town in August 1999. An updated version focusing mainly on Solid Waste Management Facilities was prepared in August 18, 2004.	INAC-WRD recommends that the O&M plan be revised to also include the following: • Wastewater collection system; • Hazardous Waste Management Plan that should be completed in general accordance with Government of the Northwest Territories; Department of Environment and Natural Resources 2009 Developing a Community Hazardous Waste Management Plan • A map of all existing and planned Surveillance Network Program stations (as the one provided in the application – Figure 9) including the latitude and longitude of each stations. • A Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for "Surveillance Network Program" sampling. The Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for sampling and transport of water samples shall be completed in accordance with Indian and Northern Affairs Canada's "Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) Guidelines" for Use by Class "A" Licensee in meeting Surveillance Network Program Requirements and for Submission of a QA/QC Plan • A copy of the Water Licence		Staff note that the water licence for the Town of Hay River (MV2009L3-0005) contains the requirements that INAC has listed. for a snow disposal plan (Part D, Items 11-12).
4			INAC understands that the Town is currently developing a Spill Contingency Plan.	The Town may refer to the Guidelines for Spill Contingency Planning produced by INAC Water Resources Division in 2007 to provide further guidance on the recommended level of detail to be included in Spill Contingency Plans. These guidelines can be found at http://nwt-tno.inac-ainc.gc.ca/wrd-gl_e.htm and hard copies can be obtained from the Water Resources Division by contacting Jeanette Hernberg at Jeanette.Hernberg@inac.gc.ca.	What facility is this contingency plan for? Lagoon? Landfill? Water Treatment Plant?	Proponent should submit its current Spill Contingency Plan for spills of fuel, lubricants, te6t. This plan would include the rpeorting containment procedures, clean-up procedures, mitigation for any potential spills. This should be submitted by 5 pm on June 10, 2011.

# REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
R 5 INAC-WR	SNP - Sampling and Analysis Requirements	The Town currently tests for BOD at SNP 567-2. Currently, the CCME Municipal Wastewater Strategy for the Treatment of Municipal Wastewater Effluent uses CBOD as an indicator for the quality of municipal wastewater. INAC recommends that both parameters be sampled for a specified period of time within the renewed water licence. This would maintain the existing long-term BOD dataset collected by the Town, but also have a period of overlap with CBOD analysis, which would provide information relevant to the upcoming CCME requirements, as well as provide a relationship to the long-term BOD dataset.	INAC recommended that CBOD be added to the list of parameters to be sampled for 567-2 in Part B. Section 1.	Agree- this has been a standard test parameter. We will need a time frame for the transitional period from BOD to CBOD.	Technical Session May be discussed further at Technical Session. The following condition was added to the municipal licences for both the City of Yellowknife and the Town of Hay River in 2010: "The Licensee shall complete monitoring of wastewater effluent quality for carbonaceous biological oxygen demand (CBOD) and biological oxygen demand (BOD) for a minimum of three years. The study findings, including a trend analysis shall be submitted to the Board for approval before August 31, 2014". Board staff note that no EQC for CBOD was included in either licence.
6 INAC-WR	SNP - Groundwater well monitoring	salts and metals suggests impact from landfill to groundwater. It also indicates that as surface water is likely a receptor to groundwater discharge north of the	recommended that the BH-04 be retrofitted and redeveloped to reduce the presence of sand and silt in the water of the monitoring well. (Note that the report referred to by INAC-WRD can be found as part of the 2008 Annual Report on the registry at: http://www.mvlwb.ca/mv/Registry/2003/MV2003L3-0006/reports/Annual/MV2003L3-0006%20- %202008%20Annual%20Water%20Report%20-%20Mar25-09.pdf)	refer to 2011 AECOM report. Based on data collected reduce wells and parameters every 2 years. (Note that the report referred to by the Town of Fort Smith can be found on the registry at: http://www.mvlwb.ca/mv/Registry/2011/MV2011L3-0001/Plans/MV2011L3-0001%20-%20DRAFT%20Groundwater%20Monitoring%20Program%20Review%20-%20Jun01-11.pdf)	During the Technical Session., Board staff would like to discuss any potential changes to the groundwater monitoring. Issues raised include -in 2008, IEG recommended adding an up-gradient surface water sampling site near the landfill to compare results to the down-gradient station at 567-4. AECOM implemented this and reported results in their 2011 report. Should this up-gradient surface water site be added to the SNP of the licence? -discuss the comparison of dissolved metals concentrations to CCME guideline values. What is the relevance of the results we are seeing? Should the SNP of the licence be changed to stipulate the analysis of dissolved metals? -The AECOM 2011 study indicates that there are elveated concentration of nickel, chromium, copper and phosphorus at surface water station 567-4. What are the potential consequences for the stream near the landfill? Should further monitoring of the stream be conducted? -AECOM noted in its 2011 report that annual sampling events are taking place at different months each year "making it difficult to discern reasons for the fluctuation beccause seasonal precipitation can influence the amount of leachate that would be released from the landfill. Should the proponent be required to take the annual sample in the same month? If so, which month? -It is unclear what AECOM means in its 2011 recommendations when it says that "a full suite of parameters be added to the surveillance program periodically (once in five years)". What, in AECOM's opinion is a "full suite of parameters"? -Discuss recommendation from IEG in 2008 to retrofit BH-04

Page 2 of 12

<u>#</u> !	REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
	<u>R</u>					Technical Session
7	NAC-WR	Conditions	INAC recommends that the conditions in the existing licence stay the same with the exception of a the following revisions:	Part A - Scope & Definitions Average Concentration For Faecal Coliform should be changed to "means the running geometric mean of any four consecutive analytical results submitted to the Board in accordance with the sampling and analysis requirements specified in the Surveillance Network Program"; Freeboard should be changed to "means the vertical distance between the water line and the lowest elevation of the effective water containment crest on a dam or dyke's upstream slope. Part B - General Conditions Section 1, item 5, should be re-worded to read "The licensee shall maintain, to the satisfaction of an inspector, the necessary signs to identify the stations of the Surveillance Network Program. Part I - Spill Contingency Planning Part I, Condition 1, of the water licence should refer to INAC's new "Guidelines for Spill Contingency Planning" April 2007.	agree	INAC has made recommendations for other changes to the water licence conditions above, please clarify.
8 1	EC	and frequency of groundwater well sampling program (cover letter dated January 25 2011 - TofFS WL Renewal App'n)	In their cover letter for the water license renewal, the Town of Fort Smith has requested the Board consider reducing the frequency and scope of the sampling wells at the municipal landfill. As per the letter dated Sept. 27/06 from the Board to Roy Scott, EC understands the Board has already granted reduction in sampling frequency (i.e., twice to once annually), the number of wells monitored (i.e., 16 to 11) and the number of parameters (i.e., removal of hydrocarbon sampling) for the landfill groundwater wells.	Given that a reduction in the frequency and scope of the groundwater sampling wells was already granted in 2006, EC seeks clarification on what additional reductions are requested. Further, before EC can comment on any additional reduction in groundwater monitoring, EC would like the opportunity to review all the raw data for SNP station 567-5. The filed information is lacking sampling data for 2002, 2003 and 2006-2010 inclusive.	Refer to 2010 AECOM report.	All the proposed changes to the groundwater sampling program should be discussed and clarified at the Technical Session. Also see specific questions from Board staff under Comment #6, above. The proponent was not required to understake the groundwater monitoring program in 2002-2003 because it was not yet a condition of the licence. Sampling data for 567-5 (which is composed of 16 groundwater monitoring well locations) from 2006-2010 is included in an appendix in the AECOM 2011 report (http://www.mvlwb.ca/mv/Registry/2011/MV2011L3-0001/Plans/MV2011L3-0001%20- %20DRAFT%20Groundwater%20Monitoring%20Program%20Review%20-%20Jun01-11.pdf)
91		Quality (SNP 567- 5)	exceeded their respective CCME guidelines for the	appropriate benchmark for which to compare groundwater concentrations,		As noted in the Board staff response to Comment #7, more discussion on the significance of the results obtained at 567-4 and 567-5 needs to occur at the Technical Session.

Comment Summary Table - MV2011L3-0001 Type A Water Licence Renewal - Town of Fort Smith

REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
R					<u>Technical Session</u>
10 EC	Landfill Runoff Sampling Program (SNP 567-4)	that iron concentrations were relatively high on all sampling dates with iron levels 2-9 times greater than its respective CCME guideline. There was also one	To complete our review of SNP 567-4, EC would appreciate the opportunity to review all the raw data for this station. In the current submission, the spring raw data for 2010 and 2004 were not provided, mercury and arsenic analysis are missing for the fall 2010, spring 2009 and fall 2006 samples, the 2008 samples were not provided (i.e., 2006 samples were provided in Appendix B of Volume 2), and the raw data for 2007 fall sample is incomplete. Further, in order to better understand the high levels of iron in the landfill runoff, EC recommends Fort Smith characterize iron concentrations in the intake water (SNP 567-1).	refer to the Slave river monitoring program Data analysis.	Proponent's response does not answer EC's questions. Board staff note that a summary of sampling results from 2006-2010 at 567-4 is provided in the 2011 AECOM report in Table 3, Appendix C. Board staff have requested the raw data for 567-4 from the lab and will pass it on to EC as soon as possible.
11 EC	Sewage Effluent Quality (SNP 567- 2)	Effluent quality standards outlined in Part D of WL2003L3-006 for SNP 567-2 exceed the Guidelines for the Discharge of Treated Municipal Wastewater in the Northwest Territories.	EC recommends reducing the Effluent Quality Standards to promote pollution prevention.	agree the effluent levels are suitable for aquatic life using Bio-Assay data results. Please advise what is suitable?	At the Technical Session, Board staff will discuss the application of the Board's recently approved Water and Effluent Quality Management Policy to the Town of Fort Smith's Water Licence (see section 7.2 of policy at http://www.mvlwb.ca/WGDocs/MVLWB-Water_Effluent_Quality_Policy-Mar2011.pdf) The Policy calls for EQC to be set based on the maintenance of water qulaity objectives for the receiving environment. At the Technical Session, we will discuss what information requirements are necessary to apply the Policy to this licence.
12 EC	Sewage Bioassay Samples (SNP 567- 2)	· ·	the sewage effluent be done at the end of pipe. For the purpose of	End pipe is the standard measuring point for an effluent however it should not be the compliance point for Fort Smith. Refer to locations, Town currently samples at end pipe for all sampling except for Bio-Assay.	At the technical session, the Proponent should describe its current practice regarding bioassay testing. Board staff would like further clarification from EC on the bioassay testing requirements and on what is done in other communities.
13 EC	Sewage Effluent Quality (SNP 567- 2)		end of pipe and that Fort Smith clarify the precise location of the sample collection.	End pipe is the standard measuring point for an effluent however it should not be the compliance point for Fort Smith. Refer to locations, Town currently samples at end pipe for all sampling except for Bio-Assay.	Proponent's response is not clear. Station 567-2 is a compliance point according the licence and should comprise 100% effluent (i.e., before any dilution into the receiving environment). Please clarify at the Technical Session.

# REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
14 EC	Sewage Effluent Water Quality	Winter effluent water quality for BOD and coliforms indicates little microbial treatment during cold, ice-covered months based on typical raw sewage values for piped sewage systems. However, the summer effluent quality values indicate effective biological treatment. Hydraulic retention time is limited to 90 days. Summer TSS and unionized ammonia (considering pH and Temperature) values are high. The winter BOD and microbial counts as well as the summer TSS and ammonia concentrations can be controlled to improve effluent water quality, yet no substantial improvements to the lagoon treatment system have taken place over the last 30 years.	EC recommends that Fort Smith conduct a study to identify the means of improving effluent water quality that may include, but are not limited to water saving methods (i.e., reduction in wastewater produced), increase hydraulic retention time, expansion of the lagoon cells, additional lagoon cells, retention of wastewater through the winter months, retention of water through summer months with controlled discharge after the algae die-off period.	The Town would welcome a partnership with the Government to fund a study, expansion or mechanical waste treament facility, to improve waste water effulents as recommended.	According to the Board's recently approved Water and Effluent Quality Management Policy, monitoring in the receiving environment (ie., in the Slave River at a point(s) downstream of the sewage outfall) will likely be a requirement of the renewed licence. The results of this monitoirng will allow us to assess the impacts (if any) to the receiving environment and any potential need for upgrades to the sewage system. The Board would likely encourage EC to work with Fort Smith to improve the system outside of the water licensing process.
15 EC	Sewage Disposal Facilities	Sludge removal from the 2 primary cells is said to occur every 5 years. From a design and operation perspective, these 2 cells are functioning as short-retention (10 day) anaerobic cells, described as grey or brown in colour. This information indicates that sludge build up is an ongoing issue for the system. If left unmanaged the sludge build-up can significantly reduce its treatment efficiency.	EC recommends that Fort Smith develop a sludge management plan that includes, but is not limited to, operational practices of sludge level measurement and monitoring methods, sludge removal, location of interim sludge disposal, sludge treatment and final disposal location or usage. The sludge management information can be contained within the Sewage System Operation and Maintenance Plan.	The Town already has a plan - not written which includes composting and disposal for the golf course land scapping.	Discuss potential to add a requirement to describe sludge management procedures in the O&M plan.
16 EC	Water Treatment	Backwash from Drinking Water Treatment is discharged to Sewage lagoons. This backwash may contain active coagulant that may increase settling in the lagoon, causing additional sludge-build up. Furthermore, the chemical composition of the coagulant may slow the sludge breakdown.	EC recommends that Fort Smith provide the following information: the specific name of the polymer and/or chemical composition, methods of dosage determination and application, frequency, seasonality and volume of backwash and sludge discharged to the sewage lagoon.	Poly-Aluminum Chloride PAC Plus. All others are subject to raw water turbidity levels which vary from year to year.	EC to clarify the significance of polymer to sewage treatment etc.
17 EC	Operation and Maintenance Plan of the Sewage Facilities		EC recommends that Fort Smith provide an up to date Operation and Maintenance Plan for the Wastewater Facility to the Board for approval.	O&M plan update is a reasonable request.	At the Technical Session, we will discuss what changes to the conditions of the water licence need to be made regarding an update to the O&M plan. For discussion, Board staff will provide O&M plan requirements for the Town of Hay River (Schedule 4 of water licence MV2009L3-0005) for consideration.
18 EC	Operation & Maintenance Plan Document titled: "TofFS Solid Waste Management Facilities O & M Plan" Revised 08/18/04	The Operations and Maintenance Plan needs revision to provide a useful working reference for operators.	Environment Canada recommends that a revised Operation and Maintenance Plan be submitted to the Board for approval as a part of the Water License conditions. For the solid waste site, the plan should include practical guidance on the operation of the engineered treatment pad, the leachate control pond, recycling, handling and disposal of hazardous materials, and treatment of contaminated drainage from the landfill. All aspects of the wastewater collection and treatment should be covered as well.	O&M plan update is a reasonable request.	See above.

#	REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
19		Approval of the Annual Report	annual report is not required to be approved by the	Environment Canada recommends for the new water license, MV2011L3-0001, that the Annual Report be submitted for approval by the Board, with provision for re-submission if it is deemed to be not satisfactory.	no comment	Technical Session If any of the Annual Reports do not contain all of the information required in the water licence, the Board may request that additional information from the proponent - this does not require a step where the Board officially "approves" the report. If in any year, EC notes deficiencies in the Annual Report, please notify the Board.
20	DFO	Flow of Slave River	Section 2.12 states that information is not available regarding the rate of flow. The Water Survey of Canada reports an average discharge of the Slave River at approximately 3,400 cubic metres per second.	This is for information purposes to illustrate that the Slave River has a sufficient discharge to support the withdrawal request from the Town of Fort Smith.	no comment	
21		River bank stabilization (intake line)			You should be able to comment on the nature of the erosion with the work that has been done on both the lagoon discharge and the water intake- what new erosion has occurred?	To be discussed and clarified at the Technical Session.
22	DFO	River bank stabilization (sewage discharge)	the sewage lagoon discharge pipeline to stabilize the	Given the history of the river bank in the area, DFO recommends additional erosion control measures be implemented including but not limited to revegetation and erosion matting.	You should be able to comment on the nature of the erosion with the work that has been done on both the lagoon discharge and the water intake- what new erosion has occurred?	See above.
23	DFO	Water Intake Screens	on the intake screen size. While there is additional		Intake structure screen consist of a 6" bar sceen entreing chamber #1, 1/2" stainless screen into chamber #2, each pump has there own additional 1/2" screen.	See above.
24	DFO	Previous environmental reviews		This should be clarified to reflect that a preliminary screening/"initial environmental review" has occurred.	This is not an appropriate measure for a municipal system that has been operating for decades.	All renewal applications are screened.
25	DFO	Abandonment and Restoration Plan	restoration plan exists.	DFO recommends a water licence condition be included that is similar to other Type A Municipal Water Licences requiring the submission of a plan six (6) months prior to closure of any municipal infrastructure.	This is a standard condition - no objections	Closure requirements are further noted by ENR below, to be discussed at Technical Session.

REVIEW	E TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	<u>Company Response</u>	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at Technical Session
26 DFO	Landfill Run-Off	In 2005, Inuvialuit Environmental and Geotechnical Inc. reported increased levels of metals downstream of landfill site as well as some confusion regarding flow direction in relation to the landfill as identified in the Fort Smith Landfill Wetlands Characterization report. It is unclear how this relates to the 2006 proposal for a reduction in sampling stations, parameters and frequency at the landfill site.	DFO recommends that this issue be investigated more thoroughly and the results used to direct the locations and frequencies of SNP sampling, especially in relation to Site 567-4.	2005 report provides accurate detailed information on the runoff location and clarifies IEG report.	See response to Comment #7, above. Board staff are unclear as to what 2005 report the Proponent is referring to. There are two items on the registry from 2005, one is the Wetlands Characterization" from IEG (http://www.mvlwb.ca/mv/Registry/2003/MV2003L3-0006/reports/MV03L3-06-Wetlands_Characterization_Report-Jan11-05.pdf) and the other is a memo from the DIAND Inspector Wayne STarling (http://www.mvlwb.ca/mv/Registry/2003/MV2003L3-0006/reports/MV03L3-06-Wetlands_Characterization-Inspector%20Confirmation%20of%20Landfill%20Drainage%20Stream-Sept05.pdf). These two reports contradict each other. The Proponent must clarify what is being referred to as well as discuss, at the Technical Session, the differences in the conclusions of the two reports.
27 GNWT-ENF	R TOPIC 1: Operations and Maintenance Plan	revised since.	1. ENR recommends that an Operations and Maintenance Plan is updated and submitted to the Board for its approval. It should be noted that the water licence also requires an O&M Plan for the sewage waste disposal facility. 2. The Town should also consult the Guideline for the Planning, Design, Operations and Maintenance of Modified Solid Waste Sites in the Northwest Territories and the Guidelines for the Preparation of an Operation and Maintenance Manual for Sewage and Solid Waste Disposal Facilities in the Northwest Territories in developing this plan. 3. And, once this plan is developed, ENR recommends that it is made available to any staff, operators, and/or contractors performing any functions related to the management of the SWF, and that the Plan is followed	O&M plan update is a reasonable request.	At the Technical Session, we will discuss what changes to the conditions of the water licence need to be made regarding an update to the O&M plan. For discussion, Board staff will provide O&M plan requirements for the Town of Hay River (Schedule 4 of water licence MV2009L3-0005) for consideration.
28 GNWT-ENF	TOPIC 2: Spill and Contingency Plan	ENR notes that the community does not have a Spill and Contingency Plan filed on the registry. As part of the previous water licence term and condition Part I (1), the Town of Fort Smith was required to submit to the Board for approval a Spill and Contingency Plan.		What facility is this contingency plan for? Lagoon? Landfill? Water Treatment Plant?	Proponent should submit its current Spill Contingency Plan for spills of fuel, lubricants, te6t. This plan would include the rpeorting containment procedures, clean-up procedures, mitigation for any potential spills. This should be submitted by 5 pm on June 10, 2011.

# REVIEW	E TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
 R					
# REVIEW R 29 GNWT-ENI		(C&R Plan) for the current SWDF is not in place. The early stages in the development of a C&R Plan are critical steps in ensuring the community is thinking, preparing and planning for the facility's ultimate closure. There are three distinct steps, performed in the proper order, through the development of a Final C&R Plan: 1. Preliminary Closure and Reclamation Plan 2. Interim Closure and Reclamation Plan 3. Final Closure and Reclamation Plan Step 1, a Preliminary C&R Plan, is appropriately prepared in conjunction with the planning and permitting stage of the SWDF. The general purpose is to propose closure objectives, alternatives analysis,	Although the current SWDF is expected to have a lifespan of at least another 20 years, ENR recommends that the Proponent prepare and submit an Interim Closure and Reclamation Plan for the current SWDF.	Apposed, not in any for see able future.	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at Technical Session Board staff would like to hear more from ENR regarding its specific concerns with the Fort Smith facilities with respect to the need for closure planning.
		and proposed closure criteria to understand the Proponent's intent. Determining appropriate closure options should also be integrated with a level of community engagement to build consensus upfront. Step 2, the Interim C&R Plan, is to identify uncertainties surrounding certain closure options that guide corresponding areas for reclamation research during operations prior to closure. There are typically several versions that are prepared during the life of the facility to address changes in development alternatives, and to refine as the facility progresses towards closure and subsequent versions of closure and reclamation Plans are produced. Interim Plans are prepared on a regular basis to coincide with operational changes, advances in technology, key			
30 GNWT-ENF	Hazardous	milestones, information collected during reclamation research, and results of community engagement. Comment 1 – Landfarm ENR understands that the landfarm was built in 2001 by the Department of Public Works and Services (PWS), GNWT for the remediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated soil originating at the Aurora College. The landfarm has since been registered in the name of PWS and managed by the Town of Fort Smith. The Background report states that the registration of the landfarm is currently being transferred to the Town. However, it is not clear what the present state of the hydrocarbon-contaminated soils in the landfarm is, and whether or not hydrocarbon-contaminated soils are currently accepted and managed from other spills within the community.	1. The Town of Fort Smith formally take ownership and management of the landfarm and additionally obtain the services of a qualified professional to monitor and determine whether hydrocarbon-contaminated soils accepted at the landfill site are remediated to meet the appropriate land use criteria in the Guideline for Contaminated Site Remediation. 2. The Town of Fort Smith register as a receiver of hydrocarbon-contaminated soils and manage hydrocarbon contaminated soils as a hazardous waste according to the Guideline for the General Management of Hazardous Waste in the NWT.		Board staff would like to have the Town of Fort Smith full clarify what is happening with the landfarm and for all parties to discuss what conditions need to be placed in the water licence in this regard, if any.

Page 8 of 12

# REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
<u>R</u>					<u>Technical Session</u>
31 GNWT-ENR	TOPIC Hazardous Waste Management	Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd. (AECL). However, other than the brief description in the Background Report, there is no specific information provided on the burial site such as details on: design and construction;	characteristics of the soil and materials buried, including laboratory analyses, and the monitoring program. In the case no monitoring program for the uranium burial site exists, one should be established. 2. Establish a formal relationship and agreement between the Town of Fort Smith and AECL to clarify responsibility for the management of the uranium burial site including ongoing operations and maintenance, monitoring, etc.		The Proponent should clarify what AECL evaluation/report is being referred to. If this is available, the Proponent should submit it to the Board by June 10.
32 GNWT-ENR	TOPIC Hazardous Waste Management	4: Comment 3 – Hazardous Waste Management Plan Hazardous waste is generated by both the Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional (ICI) sectors as well as by residents. The plan does not clearly state the types of hazardous wastes that are accepted by the ICI sector and which are not. For example, hydrocarbon- contaminated soils, or asbestos may be accepted from the ICI sector where solvent, pesticides, corrosive liquids, etc. would not be accepted from the ICI sector and only from residents.	management plan that clearly states which of the following materials will, and will not be accepted at the solid waste facility, and from which sector.	O&M plan can be provided.	The Town of Fort Smith's current O&M plan (http://www.mvlwb.ca/mv/Registry/2003/MV2003L3-0006/MV03L3- 06%20OandM%20Plan%20Aug.18-04.pdf) contains a section on Hazardous Waste. Is ENR asking for the plan to be updated to contain the information listed in more detail? Also see response to Comment # 17.

# REVIEWE	TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
R 33 GNWT-ENR	TOPIC 4 Hazardous Waste Management	ENR can provide assistance with household hazardous waste collection events. The images in Figure 6 of the 2004 O&M Plan do not present a disposal option for the variety of household hazardous wastes generated by residents. Household hazardous waste can be collected through various forms, or combinations of services like HHW collection events, HHW drop off by appointment, or collection of HHW on a regular basis at a depot.	The town included details about the type household hazardous waste collection as part of a comprehensive hazardous waste management plan and establish at least one day a year for HHW collection.	Currently being discussed with ENR	Is this outside of the water licence?
34 GNWT-ENR	TOPIC 4 Hazardous Waste Management	1	Recommendations The Town of Fort Smith utilizes hazardous waste documents provided by ENR to track and record the hazardous wastes received at their facility from the ICI sector	This can be achieved	Is this outside of the water licence?
35 GNWT-ENR	TOPIC 5: External Waste	ENR is unclear as to whether the Town accepts outsourced industrial waste.	ENR recommends that no industrial waste sourced from outside the community be accepted at the landfill. If the Town does accept industrial waste streams sourced from outside the community, then analysis of these waste streams must be provided, along with evidence that any community facility will be designed, operated, funded and licensed to mitigate and appropriately manage these activities and associated liabilities.	Opposed. There maybe a need in the future for industrial waste. Analysis and reporting of any industrial waste can be provided.	Is this outside of the water licence?

REVIEWE	<u>TOPIC</u>	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at
<u>R</u>					<u>Technical Session</u>
36	TOPIC 6:	Since the last water licence was issued in 2003, two	1. It is recommended that a study be completed to determine the origin of	1)IEG study was incorrect about the wetlandn location. The	Items 1 and 3 will be discussed at the Technical Session as per response
	Groundwater and	reports were commissioned by the Town to evaluate	the elevated metals concentrations and whether a) landfill leachate is	wetland is in the northeast and has been identified in the 2005	to Comment #7 above. Also see response to Comment #26.
	Surface Water	and assess the surface and groundwater quality	migrating offsite, b) the downstream wetland (whether it be the wetland to	wetland reporting by INAC. 2) Many of the metals in the Slave	
	Quality at the Solid	leaving the landfill and the ability of the downstream	the northeast, northwest or both) has the capacity to treat the landfill	River do not meet CCME aquatic life parameters.	Item #2 will be discussed at the Technical Session. Board staff would li
	Waste Facility	wetland to treat any potential leachate. The objective	effluent, and c) surface water quality leaving the wetland and entering the		the Proponent to clarify the situation - current and future - with respe
		of the Fort Smith Landfill Wetlands Characterization	Slave River meets Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment		to the bioremediation area of the landfill.
		completed in 2004 was to determine the capacity of	(CCME) Freshwater Aquatic Life Guidelines.		
		the wetland to treat landfill effluent. The report			
		studied the wetland located northwest of the site.	2. ENR understands that hydrocarbon-contaminated soil from the Aurora		
		However, the 2001 EBA Engineering report and the	College has been remediated. However, it is unclear whether the Town of		
l l		INAC inspection in 2005 indicated that the water flows	Fort Smith has accepted additional hydrocarbon-contaminated soils from		
		to the northeasterly direction. ENR is not clear	other sites. If the landfarm is in fact still active (i.e. the Town accepts		
		whether the wetland treatment area is located	hydrocarbon-contaminated soil), then it is recommended that the yearly		
		northeast, northwest, or both. Furthermore, the	groundwater monitoring continue to include monitoring wells MW102,		
		report could not determine the cause of the elevated	MW103, MW103B, and MW104 and petroleum hydrocarbon parameters in		
		metal concentrations.	the other groundwater monitoring wells should also be analyzed.		
		In 2005, a Groundwater Monitoring Program			
		Evaluation was commissioned by the Town in order to	3. The 2005 Groundwater Monitoring Program Evaluation report reference		
		evaluate trends in groundwater quality at the site to	the use of the AB Code of Practice for Class II Landfills be followed in terms		
		reduce the number of parameters analyzed and	of monitoring for chloride, sodium, sulphate and pH in groundwater. If the		
		frequency of sampling. This report recommended that	Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board (Board) has accepted this request,		
1		monitoring of metals continue but that all petroleum	will the Board also be requesting that the Town submit a groundwater		
		hydrocarbon parameters be removed and certain	remediation plan and implement the approved plan?		
		parameters be reduced based on the Alberta Code of			
		Practice for Class II Landfills (AB Code of Practice)			
		performance standards. Furthermore, the report			
		recommended that monitoring wells around the			
		landfarm be removed entirely from the monitoring			
		program and that the frequency in sampling be			
		reduced to once from twice per year.			
		ENR cautions that the dangers of enlisting in a partial			
		use of a guideline or standard originating in another			
		jurisdiction need to be justified. If another guideline			
		from another jurisdiction is to be used and referenced,			
		it is importative that the adented components of a			i .

Comment Summary Table - MV2011L3-0001 Type A Water Licence Renewal - Town of Fort Smith

# REVIEWE TOPIC	COMMENT	Reviewer Recommendation	Company Response	Board Staff Initial Response - for further discussion at Technical Session
	Landfill gas is composed of a mixture of hundreds of different gases. By volume, landfill gas typically contains 45% to 60% methane and 40% to 60% carbon dioxide. Landfill gas also includes small amounts of nitrogen, oxygen, ammonia, sulfides, hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and nonmethane organic compounds (NMOCs) such as trichloroethylene, benzene, and vinyl chloride. Landfill gas can pose significant health and safety issues - specifically, issues related to possible explosion and asphyxiation hazards, odors, and low-level chemical emissions. There are also health and safety issues associated with landfill fires (which may or may not be the direct result of landfill gas). However, ENR has not seen information that demonstrates landfill gas is considered within the documentation provided.		No need since the size of the landfill does not produce any methane gas. There should be no distinct connection between (water) licence renewal methane gas.	